



# Quiddities

Adam Fieled

cover image by Abby Heller-Burnham

*Frozen Warnings*, 2005

© Adam Fieled 2013-2023

## Apologia

Ezra Pound famously remarked that when poetry strays too far from music, it ceases to be poetry. I would like to opine, as a tangent thought to his, that when the higher arts stray too far from philosophy, they cease to be the higher arts. Philosophy, no less than literature, is a series of narratives; and that higher-end, intellectually ambitious literature should twirl and torque meaningfully around philosophical quandaries and discourses is something that English-language poetry has forgotten in the last half-century (and I mean “pure” philosophy, as differentiated from literary theory or aesthetics). The leveling process by which no distinctions between high and low art are made, as a precondition to post-modernity’s preponderance, has effaced interest in the “fundamental questions” in favor of narrow, nihilistic ironies and corrosive but intellectually superficial cultural critiques. But that, without reprising Romanticism, English language poetry can reclaim interest in pure philosophy and the crux questions of human existence, is the assumption these poems make. As such, they are angled against everything in the English language oeuvre after T.S. Eliot’s *Four Quartets*, including the array of Deconstructive, non-narrative poetics, which confuse the respective (though not completely antithetical) functions of philosophy and poetry in an excessive and demeaning alienation of the aesthetic.

How my approach differs from Eliot’s is this— rather than compressing the sensory data relevant to his inquiry into succinct forms, he prefers to paint on a wide canvas. The sharp points of his piece, often expressed in axioms and aphorisms, suffer a dissipated sense of being too generalized; an intermittent chiasmus with the tactile is represented, but focus is all too often lost in digression and imprecisely motivated meanderings. Many of Eliot’s axioms are, in fact, quotations (from, among others, Heraclitus and St. John of the Cross); and his Modernistic allusiveness chips away at the potential philosopher’s stone of original cognition for him. The poems in *Quiddities* are compressed and formed in the manner of John Keats’ *Odes*; not, of course, that the poems are odes, just that they are meant to convey mystery-in-brevity; and a sense, however sodden with disillusionment and despair, of enchantment. For enchantment in intellectual mystery, where English language verse is concerned, few poems but these *Apparition Poems* after the English Romantics will suffice. Modernism and post-modernism presented many shortcuts to a sense of engaged cognition; but the full enchantment of the depths and mysteries of the human mind and its powers of perception and discernment was not perceived or represented. Impulses which could have led to these representations were deemed too earnest, in a milieu and context which prized irony, and mistrust of any form of depth, especially subjectively maintained cognitive-affective depth, with or against impulses which could be deemed Romantic.

If *Quiddities* is not merely a reprise of Romantic impulses, it is because the mysteries the poems encompass and close on are not comforting. Wordsworth’s conception of intellectual enchantment is positivist; he follows a pedagogical path to teach us, with a discrete, didactic, and circumscribed system, how to think. This is the thematic backbone of *The Prelude*, his masterpiece. Intellectual man, he informs us, can always fall back on Nature; and Nature has the capacity to endlessly replenish intellectual man. The other major Romantics offer more naïve versions of the same intermittently comforting premise; even if Byron and Keats have ways of building levels of permanent encroaching darkness into their visions, too. The intellectual enchantment in *Quiddities* ends in itself; the poems offer no system as a transcendental antidote, and nothing is endlessly replenishing in the poems except

the endless montage of thought (thoughts on more thoughts). The enchantment offered by Quiddities is strange and (in a contradictory way) bitter; cognition has no recourse but to recur endlessly, in a sensory landscape as blasted and dystopic as the poems themselves. To circle back to Eliot again, where Quiddities is concerned; it is cognition over the (or a) waste land. But that the human intellect can and should develop its own kind of narcissism, over the dictatorial narcissism of the senses, especially in America, is presupposed. The human mind is the only enchanted place with any genuine permanence for mankind; that is the key and primordial supposition here.

Adam Fieled, 2013

#717

On why it has to be that writing  
comfortable garbage is the inevitable  
byproduct of living comfortably, with  
each fresh hell I wonder why the hooks  
towards artful utterance are set this  
way, & why I must become such an oyster  
just to confer into a leaking bucket,  
insecurely hung from abraded cables,  
a blue droplet not even of blood but  
of nectar, or wine, or whiskey—

#1345

Two hedgerows with a little path  
between— to walk in the path like  
some do, as if no other viable route  
exists, to make Gods of hedgerows  
that make your life tiny, is a sin of  
some significance in a world where  
hedgerows can be approached from  
any side— I said this to a man who  
bore seeds to an open space, and he  
nodded to someone else and whistled  
an old waltz to himself in annoyance.

#1613

Follow Abraham up the hill:  
to the extent that the hill is  
constituted already by kinds  
of knives, to what extent can  
a man go up a hill, shepherd  
a son to be sacrificed, to be  
worthy before an almighty  
power that may or may not  
have had conscious intentions

where hills, knives, sons were  
concerned, but how, as I watch  
this, can I not feel that Abraham,  
by braving knives, does not need  
the one he holds in his rapt hands?



#1617

Philosophy says that poets want to lose.  
What are conditions of losing: to whom?  
The conditions (to whom they concern, to

unrepresented phantoms, mostly) are colors,  
which, to transcribe, require a solid core of  
nebulous necromancy which philosophy calls

(for its own poetic reasons) “loss.” I took this  
from one strictly (which necessitated looseness  
towards me) for himself, took several median

blended colors and painted a razor on the roof  
of a red building. Then I fell off. But I lived.

#1622

Poor Schopenhauer's axioms:  
all in the will is a fight to beat  
other wills. I see him in his  
meager room, his will bent  
not to do much, save himself  
the trouble of fighting these  
ineluctable battles, but not  
able to refrain from eating,  
breathing, shitting, fucking,  
all those simple acts that are  
will-to-survival, but Arthur  
casts himself into a future of  
power, not knowing when it  
arrived it was to be a crass joke,  
ended with face in turtle soup.

The “I” that writes cannot be  
(he told us, perched on a hill of  
flowers which he crushed, but, of  
course, incompletely, and not all of  
them at once) strictly for-itself as it  
has no substance: a student walked

up, pricked his forearm (the back side  
of it) with a small razor, he cringed but  
only briefly, leaning forward so that a  
row of buttercups doused him yellow.  
The “I” that writes has a relationship  
that is very much for itself, but it has

a strictly independent existence, so that  
what constitutes a human “I” has no  
meaning for it. Now, you need to know  
this: I was not the student with the razor,  
but I supplied the razor to the student  
that cut the professor’s forearm, but you

will never know how I got it, or why.

#1547

this is  
what  
words  
amount  
to—  
festivals  
of ash,  
collapsed  
into urns,  
held  
up by  
timid folk  
for the  
bold to  
scatter.

## #1614

Set him in place: the Creator of the Universe.  
For whatever reason Abraham might experience  
a sense of being called, let's say that Abraham  
is correct. The call is there, or was made. Since  
the Creator of the Universe is a God, not a man,  
what the query would be, or consist of, does begin  
from the grounds of perfect truthfulness, immaculate  
sagacity, consummate insight. I no longer believe  
the Creator would call for Isaac's death. In my not  
consummate imagination, which also cannot believe

human sagacity may achieve perfection, it nonetheless seems  
the Creator has another question for Abraham to answer.  
The incision is: Abraham is asked to prove, demonstrate, that  
Isaac is really his son. How is he really your son? The dream  
of family, among the human race, as a veil of Maya, at least  
sometimes, is clouded by shrouds placed on reality, fatty  
degeneration of surface tissue, the ancient quality of souls  
lost in people thrown around. The Creator— conscious,  
unconscious, personal, impersonal— is not looking for  
blood. Why would he? Dumb for the Creator to ask for.

What the Creator wants is simply the truth manned  
beneath the surface, what's perfectly there. Does Abraham?

The God who would have Isaac killed, you might discover, was a pimp God. The administered test, to Abraham, was a pimp test. The conscious, personal portion of this God, creating illusions of singularity, was initiating & completing a pimp task. This, also, you might discover. What is past our comprehension is there, too. What looks like a pimp to us— who knows? But that the imposition on Abraham pimps out something to Abraham— a goomba-like, or pimpish, dispensation— this, you need to know, is how it occurs to us that primordial powers ooze their way up, into human consciousness—

or might, that is. You need to know & not know. At the end, you judge that what they call God is fashioned from human brains. That part is simple. Myths stick or don't stick. Myths become Gods-in-themselves: conscious, unconscious, personal, impersonal. Myths are pimped out, too—

The father's gaze (depending which gaze you happen to be referring to) is panoptic. It goes in without leaving traces. So if you have several fathers that leave no traces, &

merely invisible gazes, there is or maybe a sense in which you have no fathers. I saw all this happening to me, along with every thing else, many years ago, before I could

visualize the cell I was in, before I knew how the walls stank of fresh paint, or saw that I was getting smeared at any juncture. But, as I saw this, my father who was my

father turned, spoke down to me in such a way that I listened. I took what he said, gazed at my cell, and watched the paint dry deep into the night before I busted out to

watch the dawn break over the Delaware.

#2043

For God to be God  
God has to be something else  
the manifestation of a center point  
of perfectly well-rounded goodness  
incorrigibly manning the ambiguous  
affirming all sides of every equation  
responsive both personally, impersonally  
conscious & unconscious

but then, being there & not somewhere else  
the Ontological Argument falls flat  
God's not God  
for God to be God



#2044

What if, really & truly,  
the God that made us  
was beneath us? What if  
we emerged from ooze,  
call it primordial, that was  
itself a matrix for an eternity  
of half-made garbage, & one  
millennium, the entire universe  
just slipped out— an accident?  
There we were, all of us, at 1.0,  
those elite-brained imposing  
spatial-temporal dimensions  
on time, space. Pygmies, also,  
pushed down towards tiny  
existences, hating 1.0 very much,  
as an enemy like taxes, always there—

#1476

Days follow days off cliffs—  
do these things we do have  
any resonance, do they rise  
into the ether, or are they to  
be ground down into pulp,  
briefly making earth sodden,  
then dissipated dust scattered  
over plains too vast, blasted  
with winds, rains, storms, to  
be counted or harvested?

## #1901

Conshohocken power lines in the rain—  
edges of buildings cut through whitened  
sky, as rising light topples privacy for  
squat-dwellers on the Schuylkill— I see  
power defining itself in lines, acrobatic,  
space-consonant, but always working  
within suburban, subaltern parameters—  
eternity decoyed from a rusty beneath.

#1480

How horrendous, to realize there  
are people in the world with no  
soul, walking zeros, hollow spaces,  
dead end interiors, permanently  
frozen faculties, how horrendous  
to watch how they borrow words  
of others to sound profound, but  
each echo reveals there's nothing  
behind it but the kind of charred  
silence that comes after a corpse  
is burnt— how horrendous, how  
it makes some of us cling to what  
we feel, how we feel, that we feel,  
and that everything we feel is so  
precious, specifically (and only)  
because it is felt, and stays felt.

#1281

You can take for granted  
lots of God-awful garbage  
in places deemed important  
by fools; this goes for every  
thing, including poetry. Why?  
Because the world runs (has,  
will always) on mediocrity, so  
safe, so comforting, like a mug  
of hot cocoa on a winter's night,  
or a mediocre simile, people want  
others to be mediocre, to be fools,  
that's just the way things go, people  
are nothing to write home about, or  
(if you are writing to God) nothing to  
write about at all, the world is no mystery,  
all the mystery is in the night sky, looking up.

#1241

Why does no one tell the truth?  
Because the truth is (more often  
than not) absurd. No one wants  
to look absurd, so no one tells  
the truth, which creates even  
more absurdity; worlds grow  
into self-parody, systems grow  
down into gutters, whole epochs  
are wasted in perfidy; Cassandra  
finally opens her mouth, no one  
listens, they want her to star in  
a porno, set her up with a stage-  
name, she learns not to rant,  
visions cloud her eyes, cunt—

#1346

The righteous man or woman, God or Goddess,  
who bears seeds to open spaces, knows, regardless  
of prejudice, this: a sin is no sin if it's all you have  
in you. What's in us, the human race, is what's had,  
what's real, what we are. There's no sense planting  
against what's real. The idealist coughs, panting  
after the oblivion of the fictitious. Our relevant particular  
is L-shaped, compounded of one big perpendicular—  
us, what's real, what we are. Endless tug of war.  
They've got the numbers, we've got the swords—  
it was all in a nod, third-party directed, to take  
him in, question him, let someone else remake  
his brains, while the L-shaped fiasco's replanted.  
Happy to be real is happy to be pedantic.

Once you learn the L, what it implies—  
a stalemated race— the sky, clouds inhering,  
bodies of water, stately mountains, grass,  
the entire nine yards of it, all bent  
into form as something humiliatingly past  
your comprehension— then the L again,  
what the human brain could be if not  
plagued by child-like conditions—

mountains, perhaps it's the mountains  
which move you the most, as words wind  
their way into place, because a mountain  
is what you most want to make, something  
immovable, permanent, durable, solid,  
something on your side of things, against  
the other, which receives your ricochet,  
waits to chop you, your mountain down to size—



#1067

I want to last—  
to be the last  
of the last of  
the last to be

taken by time,  
but the thing  
about time is  
that it wants,

what it wants  
is us, all of us  
wane quickly  
for all time's

ways, sans "I,"  
what I wants—

#2051

Each day, I'm hollowed by  
the Recession's vacuum, & either  
create my life or perish— no sense  
of safety or coherence from a storied  
past. As I walk Conshohocken's  
streets, I note the sky, just before  
dawn, amusing itself in pastels—  
ice on branches over tiny front/  
back yards— all held self-sufficiently  
in time's objective indifference,  
which I now feel passionately about,  
for & against, December's circuits—

## Credits

**Artrecess2**— 1625

**Eratio Postmodern Poetry**— 717

**Fixator Press**— 1547

**Great Works (UK)**— 1067

**Ink Pantry**— 2051

**Jacket Magazine**— 1345, 1476, 1480

**PFS Post**— 1613, 1645

**Stoning the Devil**— 1168, 1241

**Tears in the Fence**— 1901

(all poems in this collection are drawn from *Apparition Poems*)

